



SAN JOSE, CA 95110

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/782,394	02/18/2004	Paul J. Husted	ATH-0125	4348
30547 7590 12/15/2006			EXAMINER	
BEVER HOFFMAN & HARMS, LLP 2099 GATEWAY PLACE		NGUYEN, TUAN HOANG		
SUITE 320			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 12/15/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Interview Summary	10/782,394	HUSTED ET AL.
merview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
·	Tuan H. Nguyen	2618
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):	
(1) <u>Jeanette S. Harms</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Tuan H. Nguyen</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>07 December 2006</u> .		
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2)☐ applicant's representative	· e]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.	
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-24 and 42-88</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>N/A</u> .		
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. o	g)∏ was not reached. h)⊠ N	I/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>		if an agreement was
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the amendments that w	
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW ON REVERSE SIDE OF THE SUBSTANCE OF TH	e last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM,	been filed, APPLICANT IS Y DAYS FROM THIS WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO
Sunthen la alung 12/08/1	To the state of th	•
CUOCHEN B. VUONG		
Paimary examiner		·
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an	- Ju	Muy 12/07/06
Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's sign	ature, j) required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: According to a telephone interview on December 07, 2006 with Mr. Jeanette S. Harms. Applicant's agrees to elect group II, claims 1-24 and 42-88 belong to class 375. Applicant elects to prosecute the invention as in Group II, without traverse. The office action dated November 6, 2006 in which the Examiner misstype the restriction action to determine group II belong to class 370. However, group II, claims 1-24 and 42-88 drawn to determine the communication parameter should belong to class 375.

T J